Stochastic Modeling and Applications
Vol. 26. No. 1 (January-June, 2022)
ISSN: 0972-3641

Received: 14th February 2022 *Revised: 07th April 2022* *Selected: 30th April 2022*

STOCHASTIC AND DETERMINISTIC MODELS IN EPIDEMIC CONTROL: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW

VAIBHAV KUMAR SINGH, ADARSH K. VERMA & MANOJ KUMAR

Abstract: Stochastic models and deterministic models used in epidemic control are compared in this review study, with an emphasis on the advantages, disadvantages, and uses of each model. A more detailed depiction of epidemic dynamics is offered by stochastic models, which take uncertainty and unpredictability into account. Conversely, deterministic models provide easier-to-understand answers. Key frameworks in both categories, including the SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) model, are examined in this research along with how these models have changed as a result of the integration of network theory, real-time data, and human behaviour. We offer suggestions for future lines of inquiry into epidemic modelling and control techniques in our conclusion.

1. Introduction

Such models are primarily used to document the spread of diseases, forecast their future trajectory, and assist in the development of successful intervention tactics. As evidenced by recent worldwide outbreaks like the COVID-19 pandemic and the Ebola outbreak, epidemics can have serious negative effects on public health and the economy. By simulating various situations, mathematical models enable public health experts and policymakers to predict the effects of actions like as social distance, immunization, and quarantine measures [1]. A number of assumptions and simplifications of real-world dynamics are made by these models. Deterministic and stochastic models are the two main categories of mathematical models utilized in epidemic control [1], [2]. The choice of model depends on the specific goals of the study and the characteristics of the disease under investigation.

1.1 Importance of Modeling

Mathematical and computational models are essential tools in the fight against epidemics. They serve multiple purposes: i) They can predict peak infection rates, length, and the possible strain on healthcare systems by estimating the probable spread of an epidemic in a population. ii) Models provide insight on how various interventions, including immunization programs, public health regulations, or isolation tactics, can change how an epidemic develops. ii) Models assist in optimizing the distribution of scarce resources, like hospital beds, vaccines, and medical staff, by modelling several scenarios, particularly during outbreaks of highly contagious diseases. Given the critical role of these models in public health decision-making, understanding their strengths, limitations, and applicability is essential.

1.2 Overview of Epidemic Models

There are two broad categories of epidemic models: deterministic models and stochastic models. **Deterministic models** (such as the SIR model) operate under the assumption that the population is homogeneous, and the disease spread is described by continuous variables [3-12]. Usually, they simulate the rates at which people transition between several states (such as vulnerable, infected, and recovered) using differential equations. The models provide a single, fixed estimate for the disease's spread, assuming that the same conditions would always produce the same result. These models are easier to examine and have less computing requirements.

Stochastic models, on the other hand, incorporate randomness and variability into the disease dynamics. By accounting for random variations in transmission rates and discrete events like individual interactions or illnesses, these models reflect the course of the disease. They can provide a variety of potential outcomes, giving a more accurate depiction of ambiguity and the part chance plays in the transmission of disease. For tiny populations or for capturing infrequent but significant events (like super-spreader events), stochastic models are especially helpful. Both deterministic and stochastic models have been widely used in epidemic research, each offering unique insights into the dynamics of infectious diseases [13], [14].

1.3 Objective

The purpose of this work is to present a comparative analysis of deterministic and stochastic models in relation to epidemic control. In particular, we will examine i) the core principles and mathematical foundations of both types of models. ii) the advantages and limitations of each approach in different epidemic scenarios. iii) their applications in real-world disease outbreaks, focusing on how these models have been used to inform interventions and policy decisions. iv) emerging trends and hybrid approaches that combine both model types to address the complexities of epidemic prediction and control. By highlighting key differences, this paper aims to help researchers and public health professionals choose the most appropriate modeling approach for their specific needs while also identifying opportunities for future model development.

2. Stochastic Models in Epidemic Control:

2.1 Definition and Characteristics

The inclusion of randomness and uncertainty in the disease transmission process distinguishes stochastic models from deterministic models. Stochastic models simulate a variety of potential outcomes, reflecting the inherent variability in real-world events, in contrast to deterministic models, which predict a single conclusion given initial conditions. The spread of the disease is not fixed in stochastic models; rather, it is impacted by random population fluctuations, the probability of disease transmission, and individual contacts, among other probabilistic events.

In an epidemic context, randomness is crucial because diseases do not spread in perfectly predictable ways. Factors such as individual behaviors, super-spreader events, and small population effects all introduce variability that stochastic models can capture.

2.2 Key Frameworks of Stochastic Models

Stochastic models play a crucial role in understanding the dynamics of epidemic spread by incorporating randomness into disease transmission processes [10], [13], [15]. One of the most widely used stochastic simulation methods is the **Gillespie algorithm**, which is based on Discrete Event Simulation (DES). This algorithm simulates individual events, such as infection or recovery, by considering specific transition rates to describe the temporal evolution of an epidemic. By modeling disease transmission as a sequence of stochastic stages, the Gillespie algorithm tracks discrete events using random variables. Each event occurs at a particular rate, such as the infection or recovery rate, while the interval between events is determined probabilistically. A key feature of this algorithm is that the system evolves over time as discrete events, with the time to the next event governed by an exponential distribution, thereby capturing the randomness in event occurrences [7]. This method is particularly useful in small populations, where it accurately represents the uncertainty in disease transmission, recovery probabilities, and transitions between states, such as from susceptible to infected individuals.

Another widely adopted approach in stochastic epidemic modeling is **Agent-Based Models** (ABM), which provide a more granular representation of disease dynamics [2]. In ABMs, individual entities (agents) are simulated as autonomous units, each with distinct characteristics such as age, health status, and behavior. Agents interact with each other based on predefined rules, such as social distancing measures, vaccination strategies, or infection probabilities, allowing for a highly flexible modeling framework. The interactions between agents are governed by probabilistic rules, enabling the representation of heterogeneous behaviors and complex social networks that are difficult to capture with traditional compartmental models. ABMs are particularly effective in simulating epidemic scenarios where individual mobility, community interactions, and intervention effects play a significant role. For instance, during an outbreak, ABMs can model how individuals move through various social settings and spread the disease while incorporating control measures like quarantine or vaccination to assess their impact on different population subgroups.

Additionally, **Markov Chains** and **Poisson Processes** are commonly used stochastic frameworks in epidemic modeling [9]. A Markov Chain is a system where the future state depends only on the present state and not on past events, a property known as memory lessness. In epidemic modeling, a Markov Chain can represent transitions between states, such as the number of infected individuals at a given time, with transition rates dictating the probabilities of moving between states. On the other hand, Poisson Processes are useful for modeling the occurrence of random events over time, such as new infections or recoveries happening at irregular intervals. These processes effectively capture the randomness in event occurrences within an epidemic. For example, the infection rate in a population can be modeled using a Poisson process, while transitions between susceptible, infected, and recovered states can be described using a Markov Chain. Together, these stochastic modeling frameworks provide powerful tools to simulate disease spread dynamics, account for uncertainty in transmission processes, and evaluate the effects of interventions in diverse epidemiological contexts.

2.3 Applications of Stochastic Models

Stochastic models have wide-ranging applications in epidemiology, particularly in scenarios where randomness and individual-level interactions significantly influence disease dynamics [6], [8], [14]. One key application is in studying disease spread in small populations, where stochastic effects play a crucial role. In such populations, individual interactions and rare events can dramatically alter disease outcomes. For instance, in larger populations, the probability of a few infected individuals triggering a major outbreak may be relatively low, whereas in small populations, the likelihood of disease extinction or widespread transmission is much more variable. Unlike deterministic models, which predict uniform epidemic trajectories, stochastic models account for these variations, allowing for the possibility of complete outbreak elimination or, conversely, rapid escalation of infections due to chance events.

Another critical area where stochastic models provide valuable insights is in super-spreader events. Certain infectious diseases, such as COVID-19, exhibit transmission patterns where a small subset of individuals is responsible for a disproportionately high number of secondary infections. These rare, high-risk events are difficult to capture using deterministic models, which generally assume homogeneous mixing of populations. Stochastic models, however, can simulate the likelihood and impact of super-spreader events, helping researchers understand how such occurrences shape epidemic trajectories. This is particularly important for evaluating disease control strategies in high-risk environments, such as crowded indoor spaces, where a single infected individual could drive widespread transmission.

Additionally, stochastic models are essential for capturing randomness and uncertainty in epidemic progression. Epidemic spread is inherently influenced by random factors such as chance encounters between individuals, variability in immune responses, and fluctuating environmental conditions. Stochastic models account for these uncertainties by generating a range of possible epidemic outcomes rather than a single predicted trajectory, as seen in deterministic approaches. This ability to produce probability distributions of outcomes is crucial for decision-makers, as it provides a more comprehensive understanding of the potential risks and benefits associated with different intervention strategies. By incorporating uncertainty into epidemic modeling, stochastic frameworks enable more robust planning and response efforts, ensuring preparedness for a range of possible epidemic scenarios.

2.4 Strengths and Limitations of Stochastic Models in Epidemic Modeling

Stochastic models offer several advantages in understanding and predicting epidemic dynamics. One of their key strengths is their realistic representation of disease dynamics, as they account for the inherent randomness in disease transmission. Unlike deterministic models, which assume a uniform spread of infection, stochastic models capture the probabilistic nature of disease outbreaks, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of potential outcomes. This probabilistic framework is particularly useful for planning interventions in uncertain scenarios, as it helps policymakers and public health officials anticipate a range of possible epidemic trajectories rather than a single deterministic forecast.

Another advantage of stochastic models lies in their flexibility and ability to simulate detailed scenarios. These models can incorporate individual-level behaviors, mobility patterns, and intervention strategies such as vaccination, quarantine, or contact tracing, which are often difficult to model using traditional deterministic approaches. Agent-Based Models (ABMs), in particular, provide a high level of customization by simulating the interactions of heterogeneous individuals within a population, allowing researchers to study how specific behaviors influence disease spread. Such flexibility makes stochastic models especially valuable for evaluating targeted interventions and population-specific health policies.

Furthermore, stochastic models excel in capturing rare but high-impact events, such as super-spreader events or localized outbreaks. These extreme but critical scenarios can have a significant impact on epidemic progression and control efforts. Deterministic models often fail to account for such variability, whereas stochastic frameworks allow researchers to assess the probability of such events and their potential consequences. This capability is crucial in pandemic preparedness, as it helps policymakers design strategies to mitigate worst-case scenarios.

Despite their strengths, stochastic models also have notable limitations. One major drawback is their computational intensity. Simulating large populations or long-term epidemic dynamics using stochastic methods, such as the Gillespie algorithm or ABMs, requires substantial computational resources. Running multiple simulations to estimate outcome variability further increases the computational burden, making these models less practical for real-time decision-making in large-scale epidemiological studies.

Another challenge is the difficulty in analysis and interpretation of stochastic model outputs. Unlike deterministic models, which produce a single, predictable trajectory, stochastic models generate a range of possible outcomes, requiring careful statistical analysis of probability distributions and confidence intervals. The variability between simulation runs means that researchers must conduct multiple trials to obtain reliable estimates, which can complicate decision-making and policy formulation.

Finally, model calibration presents a significant challenge in stochastic modeling. Accurately estimating key parameters, such as transmission and recovery rates, is more complex than in deterministic models, as it requires accounting for randomness, behavioral variability, and environmental factors. Proper calibration demands high-quality, real-world data to ensure that the model reflects actual disease dynamics. Without precise parameter estimation, stochastic models may produce unreliable predictions, limiting their effectiveness in guiding public health responses.

Overall, while stochastic models provide a powerful tool for capturing the randomness and complexity of disease spread, their computational demands, interpretative challenges, and calibration difficulties must be carefully managed to maximize their utility in epidemic research and public health planning.

3. Deterministic Models in Epidemic Control

Deterministic models in epidemiology are mathematical frameworks used to predict the spread of infectious diseases in a population by employing fixed equations that do not account for randomness or variability in disease transmission. These models assume that, given a set of initial conditions and parameters—such as the number of susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals, along with transmission and recovery rates—the trajectory of an epidemic can be precisely determined. In other words, the outcomes of deterministic models are fully determined by their input parameters, making them predictable and replicable under identical conditions [13],[11].

Typically based on differential equations, deterministic models describe the rate of change in disease states over time. A fundamental assumption in these models is that every individual in the population has an equal probability of interacting with others, meaning disease transmission occurs homogeneously across the population. While this simplification makes deterministic models computationally efficient and analytically tractable, it also limits their ability to capture stochastic variations and localized transmission patterns seen in real-world epidemics.

3.1 Key Frameworks of Deterministic Models

The **SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) model** is one of the most widely used and straightforward deterministic models in epidemiology [3],[5],[13]. It divides the population into three compartments: Susceptible (S), representing individuals who are at risk of contracting the disease; Infected (I), denoting individuals who are currently infected and capable of spreading the disease; and Recovered (R), comprising individuals who have recovered from the infection and are assumed to have immunity, making them no longer susceptible to reinfection. The model is governed by differential equations that describe the rates of transition between these compartments based on parameters such as the transmission rate and recovery rate. By analyzing these dynamics, the SIR model helps predict the spread of infectious diseases and assess the effectiveness of interventions such as vaccination and social distancing.

The model describes the rate of change in each compartment over time using a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

$$dS/dt = -\beta * S * I$$
$$dI/dt = \beta * S * I - \gamma * I$$
$$dR/dt = \gamma * I$$

Here:

β is the rate of transmission (the rate of infection among vulnerable persons).
γ is the rate of recovery (the rate of recovery for infected individuals).
The system of equations models how the disease spreads through the population over time, starting with an initial number of infected individuals.

The **SEIR (Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Recovered) model** is an extension of the SIR model, incorporating an additional compartment for Exposed (E) individuals—those who have been exposed to the disease but are not yet contagious [9],[12]. This modification makes the SEIR model particularly useful for diseases with an incubation period, during which infected individuals do not immediately become infectious. The model consists of four compartments: Susceptible (S), representing individuals at risk of contracting the disease; Exposed (E), comprising individuals who have been infected but are not yet contagious; Infected (I), denoting individuals who are actively infectious and capable of transmitting the disease; and Recovered (R), including those who have recovered and are assumed to have immunity. By accounting for the incubation period, the SEIR model provides a more accurate representation of disease transmission dynamics, particularly for infections like COVID-19 and influenza, where individuals go through a latent phase before becoming infectious.

The corresponding differential equations for the SEIR model are:

$$dS/dt = -\beta \cdot S \cdot I$$

$$dE/dt = \beta \cdot S \cdot I - \sigma \cdot E$$

$$dI/dt = \sigma \cdot E - \gamma \cdot I$$

$$dR/dt = \gamma \cdot I$$

Here:

σ is the rate at which exposed peoples become contagious.

β is the transmission rate, and $\gamma\gamma$ is the recovery rate, as in the SIR model.

Compartmental Models are a class of epidemiological models that divide the population into different compartments based on disease status and specific characteristics relevant to the study [11]. While the SIR and SEIR models serve as foundational frameworks, more complex variations exist to account for additional factors influencing disease spread. For instance, some models incorporate a Vaccinated (V) compartment to track individuals who have received a vaccine and are either immune or partially protected. Others introduce a Hospitalized (H) compartment, which is particularly useful for diseases requiring hospitalization, such as COVID-19, where healthcare system capacity is a critical concern. Additionally, a Quarantined (Q) compartment can be included to represent individuals who have been isolated due to potential exposure to the disease, helping to assess the impact of quarantine measures on disease transmission. These extended compartmental models provide a more detailed and adaptable approach to modeling epidemics, allowing researchers to evaluate the effects of interventions such as vaccination programs, hospitalization policies, and quarantine strategies.

These models can add more complexity and detail to the analysis, such as the incorporation of multiple intervention strategies (e.g., vaccination, quarantine, social distancing).

3.2 Applications of Deterministic Models

Deterministic models play a crucial role in understanding and managing epidemics, particularly in large populations where individual-level randomness is less significant. These models provide valuable insights for public health planning, intervention strategies, and resource allocation [5].

One of the primary applications of deterministic models is large-scale epidemic forecasting. Models such as SIR and SEIR are commonly used by governments and public health agencies to predict the number of infections at different stages of an outbreak, estimate the peak infection rate, and determine the overall duration of the epidemic. These models help in assessing the potential impact of public health measures, such as vaccination campaigns, quarantine protocols, and social distancing policies, allowing policymakers to make informed decisions.

Deterministic models are also essential for designing and evaluating epidemic control strategies. By simulating different intervention scenarios, policymakers can assess the effectiveness of measures such as vaccination schedules, lockdowns, travel restrictions, and social distancing mandates. These models allow for comparative analysis, helping decision-makers identify the most effective strategies for mitigating disease spread.

Another critical application is optimal resource allocation during an epidemic. Deterministic models assist in distributing vaccines, medical supplies, and hospital resources efficiently based on the predicted spread of the disease. By estimating hospitalization rates and peak case loads, these models help health authorities allocate resources where they are needed most, ensuring a more effective response to outbreaks.

3.3 Strengths and Limitations of Deterministic Models

Deterministic models offer several advantages in the study and management of epidemics, particularly in large-scale settings. One significant strength is their computational efficiency [11]. Unlike stochastic models, which can be computationally expensive, deterministic models are much faster to compute, especially when dealing with large populations. This efficiency allows for real-time predictions and rapid policy evaluations, making them ideal tools for quick decision-making during an outbreak. Another strength is their simplicity and interpretability. The mathematical structure of deterministic models is generally straightforward, making them easy to understand and analyze. This simplicity is particularly beneficial when presenting results to policymakers, as the outcomes can be communicated clearly and concisely, aiding in the formulation of public health strategies.

Furthermore, deterministic models have broad applicability. They can be used to model a wide variety of infectious diseases, from seasonal flu to more severe epidemics, making them versatile tools in epidemiological studies. This versatility makes them valuable for studying different diseases in different contexts, offering a broad range of possible applications in epidemic forecasting, control strategy evaluations, and resource allocation.

However, despite these advantages, deterministic models also have several limitations. One major issue is the homogeneous mixing assumption. These models typically assume that individuals in a population mix randomly, meaning each person has an equal chance of interacting with every other person. This assumption does not account for real-world complexities, such as social networks, geographical clustering, or targeted interventions, which can affect the spread of disease. Additionally, deterministic models have a lack of randomness. Since they do not incorporate the inherent variability or randomness of disease transmission, they may not accurately capture real-world outbreaks, particularly in smaller populations or during rare events like super-spreader incidents.

Lastly, deterministic models often involve over-simplification. While they provide useful general predictions, these models can sometimes oversimplify complex real-world epidemic dynamics. For example, they may not fully capture the influence of external factors such as public health interventions, human mobility, or seasonality, which can all significantly impact the course of an outbreak. As a result, deterministic models may not always reflect the full complexity of real-world epidemic scenarios.

4. Comparison of Stochastic and Deterministic Models:

Both stochastic and deterministic models have been extensively used in epidemic modeling to understand the spread of infectious diseases, predict future outcomes, and guide intervention strategies. While they are both grounded in mathematical principles and can offer valuable insights, they differ in their treatment of randomness, model complexity, and the type of information they provide. This section compares the two approaches based on several key criteria including accuracy, complexity, computational requirements, application suitability, and uncertainty handling.

4.1 Handling of Randomness and Uncertainty

Deterministic models assume that the progression of an epidemic is entirely predictable, governed by a fixed set of rules. Given the same initial conditions and parameters (e.g., transmission rate, recovery rate), these models will always yield the same outcome. As a result, deterministic models do not account for the inherent variability or random fluctuations that may arise in real-world disease dynamics. This lack of randomness presents a limitation, especially when dealing with smaller populations or the occurrence of rare events, such as super-spreader incidents, that could dramatically influence the trajectory of disease spread. Consequently, deterministic models are less effective at capturing the uncertainty and variability inherent in disease transmission, which can be critical for making informed decisions in complex or unpredictable situations.

In contrast, stochastic models explicitly incorporate randomness into the disease transmission process. These models account for the variability in disease spread over time by including random events, such as individual interactions, the likelihood of an individual becoming infected, and the probability of rare, high-impact events, like super-spreader occurrences or sudden outbreaks. The key feature of stochastic models is their ability to generate a range of possible outcomes, offering a probabilistic description of the epidemic's evolution. This makes them particularly useful for capturing the uncertainty and randomness inherent in epidemic dynamics, providing a more realistic and nuanced view of how diseases might spread, especially in scenarios where chance plays a significant role [13],[15].

4.2 Complexity and Interpretability

Deterministic models are generally simpler and more straightforward to analyze compared to their stochastic counterparts. They typically rely on ordinary differential equations (ODEs), which offer a continuous representation of the dynamics within a population (such as the number of susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals over time). This simplicity results in a well-defined trajectory for the epidemic, making the model's outputs easier to interpret and communicate. Because these models do not account for random variability, their predictions are consistent—given the same set of initial conditions and parameters, they will always produce the same result. However, this very simplicity can also limit their ability to capture the full complexity of real-world epidemic dynamics, as they fail to account for random fluctuations and rare events that might significantly impact the disease's progression.

Stochastic models, by their nature, are more complex, as they account for randomness and variability in disease transmission. For example, agent-based models (ABMs) require simulating the behaviors and interactions of individual agents, while stochastic simulations like the Gillespie algorithm calculate the occurrence of events based on random variables. This increased complexity allows these models to generate a range of possible outcomes, providing a probabilistic view of the epidemic's progression rather than a single, deterministic trajectory. As a result, the interpretation of stochastic model outputs becomes more challenging, as it requires understanding distributions of possible outcomes and their likelihoods, rather than a definitive forecast. Although stochastic models offer more realistic and detailed insights into disease dynamics, this added complexity can make them more difficult for non-experts, such as policymakers, to interpret and apply effectively in decision-making.

4.3 Computational Requirements

Deterministic models are computationally efficient, especially when used for large populations. These models typically rely on ordinary differential equations (ODEs), which can be solved quickly using standard numerical methods such as Euler's method or Runge-Kutta methods. Since these models do not account for randomness or simulate individual events, they require relatively little computational power, making them well-suited for real-time epidemic forecasting. This efficiency allows for the rapid evaluation of different intervention strategies, even on a large scale. As a result, deterministic models are ideal for scenarios where computational resources are limited or where quick, high-level predictions are necessary.

Stochastic models, on the other hand, tend to be computationally demanding, particularly those based on simulations such as the Gillespie algorithm or agent-based models (ABMs). These models simulate individual events or interactions, and to account for variability, they require numerous iterations or "runs" to generate a distribution of possible outcomes. As a result, the computational cost of stochastic models increases significantly when dealing with large populations or extended simulation periods. This can make it challenging to conduct real-time analysis or simulate complex epidemic scenarios with many variables. While stochastic models offer a more detailed and nuanced understanding of epidemic dynamics, their high computational demands can limit their practical use, particularly in situations that require the simulation of multiple scenarios or real-time decision-making.

4.4 Applicability and Use Cases

Deterministic models are most suitable for studying large-scale epidemics in populations where individual variations or small-scale random events do not significantly influence the overall disease spread. These models are particularly effective when the primary goal is to predict the general course of an epidemic, especially in populations assumed to mix homogeneously. They are commonly employed for policy analysis, large-scale epidemic forecasting, and evaluating interventions such as vaccination campaigns, quarantine measures, and social distancing policies. Their clear and straightforward predictions make them a practical tool for decision-making in public health. However, they are less appropriate for studying small population dynamics or diseases that involve high variability in transmission, such as super-spreader events or localized outbreaks.

Stochastic models, by contrast, are more suited for contexts where small-scale interactions or variability play a critical role in disease progression. They are ideal for studying epidemics in small populations or environments characterized by high variability. These models excel in capturing rare events, such as super-spreader incidents, and are particularly valuable when disease dynamics are influenced by heterogeneous population structures, such as age-specific interactions or highly connected social networks. Stochastic models are used in more detailed epidemiological simulations, where accounting for uncertainty and the potential impact of rare events is crucial for making informed decisions. They provide a richer understanding of disease spread, especially in scenarios where randomness significantly shapes the epidemic's course.

4.5 Uncertainty and Risk Assessment

While deterministic models provide precise predictions of an epidemic's trajectory based on fixed parameters, they do not account for uncertainty or variability in these predictions. This makes them less suitable for comprehensive risk assessments, particularly when disease dynamics are influenced by uncertain factors, such as fluctuating transmission rates or unmodeled interventions. Although deterministic models can be used to explore "best-case" or "worst-case" scenarios, they lack the flexibility to capture the full range of possible outcomes, thereby limiting their utility in contexts where understanding uncertainty is crucial for decision-making.

Stochastic models, on the other hand, naturally incorporate uncertainty by generating a distribution of possible outcomes rather than a single, deterministic trajectory. This feature allows them to model risk and variability more effectively, making them well-suited for robust risk assessments. Decision-makers can use stochastic models to quantify the likelihood of various outcomes, such as the probability of the epidemic reaching a particular size or the chance of disease extinction in small populations. By simulating multiple scenarios, stochastic models offer a richer understanding of the potential risks and benefits associated with different intervention strategies, providing a more comprehensive view of epidemic dynamics under uncertainty.

4.6 Summary of Key Differences

Feature	Deterministic Models	Stochastic Models
Randomness/Uncertainty	Does not account for randomness	Accounts for randomness and variability
Complexity	Simple and straightforward	Complex, with many individual events and rules
Computational Requirements	Computationally efficient	Computationally expensive
Interpretability	Easy to interpret, provides a single outcome	More difficult to interpret, provides a range of outcomes
Applicability	Suitable for large populations, homogeneous mixing	Suitable for small populations, rare events, heterogeneous mixing
Uncertainty Handling	Cannot assess uncertainty or variability	Captures uncertainty and provides probabilistic outcomes
Use Cases	Large-scale forecasting, intervention evaluation	Risk assessment, small-scale epidemics, detailed simulations

Both stochastic and deterministic models offer distinct advantages and limitations. Deterministic models are simple, computationally efficient, and easy to interpret, making them ideal for large-scale epidemic forecasting and quick decision-making. However, they may fail to capture the nuances of real-world disease spread, especially in small populations or when variability plays a significant role.

Stochastic models, on the other hand, provide a more realistic representation of disease dynamics by accounting for randomness and uncertainty. They are particularly useful when modeling rare events or heterogeneous populations. However, their computational complexity and the challenge of interpreting probabilistic outputs make them less practical for large-scale, real-time forecasting.

Ultimately, the choice between stochastic and deterministic models depends on the context, scale, and specific goals of the epidemic study. In some cases, hybrid models that combine elements of both approaches may offer the best of both worlds, balancing computational efficiency with the ability to capture uncertainty.

5. Applications of Both Models in Epidemic Control:

Both stochastic and deterministic models play crucial roles in epidemic control. Their application depends on the specific needs of the situation, the scale of the epidemic, and the type of interventions being considered. In this section, we will discuss the various ways both types of models are employed to predict disease spread, evaluate control strategies, and inform policy decisions.[4]

5.1 Predicting Disease Spread and Epidemic Forecasting

Deterministic models, such as the SIR and SEIR models, are commonly used to predict the overall trajectory of an epidemic, particularly in large populations. These models help estimate how the disease will spread, the duration of the epidemic, and the timing of infection peaks. Since they rely on fixed parameters, deterministic models provide a single, predictable outcome based on the initial conditions, making them useful for large-scale forecasting. They are also instrumental in early warning systems, helping assess potential epidemic outcomes under various intervention scenarios like vaccination rates, social distancing measures, or travel restrictions. By forecasting the number of people infected, recovered, or deceased, these models assist governments and health agencies in preparing for different epidemic scenarios. Additionally, deterministic models can be adapted for near real-time predictions by incorporating updated data such as infection rates and vaccination coverage, enabling decision-makers to respond rapidly to the evolving situation. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the SEIR model was widely used to predict the disease's trajectory, estimate the required healthcare resources, and determine the timing of public health interventions [3],[5].

Stochastic models are more suited for modeling disease spread in smaller populations, where individual interactions and random events play a larger role. These models can simulate the likelihood of small outbreaks evolving into major epidemics or predict the chances of disease extinction in smaller settings. One of their key strengths is their ability to capture rare or extreme events, such as super-spreader incidents or localized outbreaks, which deterministic models may miss. By accounting for the variability in individual behaviors and random patterns of contact, stochastic models provide a more detailed and realistic view of how an epidemic could unfold. Instead of predicting a single trajectory, stochastic models offer a distribution of possible outcomes, giving decision-makers a clearer sense of uncertainty and allowing for more informed planning. This probabilistic forecasting enables the evaluation of different scenarios, such as the likelihood of the epidemic growing, remaining constant, or dying out. For instance, in the case of a localized outbreak, a stochastic model might estimate the likelihood of the disease spreading to neighboring areas by considering individual interactions and the occurrence of super-spreader events.

5.2 Evaluating and Designing Intervention Strategies

Deterministic models play a critical role in evaluating the effectiveness of different intervention strategies during an epidemic, such as vaccination campaigns, quarantine measures, school closures, and travel restrictions. These models allow for simulations of various policies, predicting their impact on the size, duration, and timing of the epidemic. By adjusting parameters like vaccination rates or the timing of interventions, deterministic models help policymakers understand how much effort is needed to control the epidemic. One significant application is the assessment of the herd immunity threshold, where the model can determine the proportion of the population that needs to be immune (through vaccination or prior infection) to halt the disease's spread. Additionally, deterministic models can be used in cost-effectiveness analyses, comparing the costs of implementing certain interventions (e.g., nationwide vaccination campaigns) to the economic savings generated by reducing the number of infections and hospitalizations. For example, during the Ebola outbreak in West Africa (2014-2016), deterministic models helped assess the impact of interventions such as quarantine, travel restrictions, and healthcare improvements, guiding when and where these measures should be applied to prevent the epidemic from escalating.

Stochastic models are particularly valuable in understanding the impact of interventions in environments where variability and randomness significantly influence disease dynamics. These models account for the unpredictability of individual behaviors, such as how likely individuals are to cooperate with contact tracing efforts or adhere to quarantine measures. Stochastic models allow for the testing of different contingency plans, simulating the potential outcomes of interventions under uncertain conditions, such as new mutations of the disease or second waves of infection. They can also assess varying levels of intervention measures, such as different vaccination coverage or quarantine stringency. Furthermore, stochastic models are useful in optimizing resource allocation during an epidemic. By simulating scenarios with different intervention strategies, they help determine the demand for critical healthcare resources, including ICU beds, ventilators, or testing kits, under various outbreak conditions. For instance, in the COVID-19 pandemic, stochastic models were used to simulate multiple intervention strategies, such as varying lockdown measures and contact tracing efforts, to predict their potential outcomes. These simulations assisted decision-makers in understanding the likelihood of case recurrence or resurgence once interventions were lifted.

5.3 Assessing Public Health Policies and Strategies

Deterministic models are extensively used in long-term policy planning by public health authorities to develop strategies aimed at controlling or eliminating diseases over extended periods. These models are valuable in determining the timing and scale of interventions, such as mass vaccination campaigns or the gradual lifting of social distancing measures. By providing a clear view of how disease transmission might evolve under different intervention scenarios, deterministic models help policymakers predict the long-term effects of various strategies [15]. They can also be used to simulate the possibility of disease eradication or elimination, calculating the impact of interventions on transmission rates and incorporating factors like herd immunity to estimate the feasibility of disease elimination. For example, for diseases like polio, which require sustained long-term vaccination efforts, deterministic models have been essential in forecasting the eventual eradication of the disease and in understanding the necessary steps to achieve global health goals.

Stochastic models are particularly useful in assessing how individuals might respond to public health policies and the variability in compliance across different population groups. These models can simulate the likelihood of the public cooperating with vaccination campaigns or adhering to social distancing measures, which can vary based on factors like perceived risk and personal behavior. Stochastic models also excel in tailoring localized public health strategies by capturing individual behavior variability and population structure. For instance, they can model how the disease might spread within specific communities or regions and assist in designing targeted interventions that are more effective at the local level. Moreover, stochastic models allow health authorities to continuously monitor and adapt strategies in response to emerging data. By running simulations with new inputs, such as changing contact patterns, the introduction of new variants of the disease, or variations in vaccination rates, these models provide insights that help refine ongoing intervention efforts. During the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, stochastic models played a crucial role in understanding the virus's dynamics across different geographic regions, helping to inform the potential success of lockdowns and other containment strategies.

5.4 Simulating Complex Epidemic Scenarios

Deterministic models are effective in simulating epidemics within large, homogenous populations where disease spread is predictable, and there is minimal variation in individual interactions or contact networks. These models are particularly suitable when transmission follows well-understood patterns and when the effects of rare, unpredictable events like super-spreader incidents are minimal or negligible. In such scenarios, deterministic models can offer useful predictions regarding the overall trajectory of the epidemic, such as the number of people who will need to be vaccinated to achieve herd immunity. For example, deterministic models are widely used in large-scale vaccination campaigns, where the spread of the disease is assumed to be uniform across the population, providing estimates on the necessary vaccination coverage to control the epidemic effectively.

Stochastic models, in contrast, excel in simulating epidemics in more complex and heterogeneous populations where individual variations, contact patterns, and immunity levels vary. These models are particularly useful for capturing the impact of rare but significant events, such as super-spreader incidents, in which a small number of individuals spread the disease to an unusually large number of others. By incorporating randomness, stochastic models provide more nuanced predictions of disease progression in populations where such events are likely to occur. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, stochastic models were able to more accurately capture the spread of the virus in high-density environments such as public transport systems, restaurants, or crowded gatherings, where super-spreader events could substantially influence the epidemic's trajectory.

6. Future Directions and Open Challenges

Emerging research suggests that combining stochastic and deterministic approaches could lead to more accurate predictions and robust control strategies in epidemic modeling. Future models could also incorporate network theory to better represent the influence of social networks and transportation systems on disease spread. By integrating these networks, models would better reflect individual interactions. Additionally, including human behavioral responses, such as changes in mobility or social distancing, could significantly improve epidemic forecasts. Finally, future models should better integrate real-time data, like mobility data and testing rates, to refine predictions and improve the effectiveness of control measures.

7. Conclusion:

The review and comparison of deterministic and stochastic models in the context of epidemic control has been done in this study. Both strategies have benefits and drawbacks. While deterministic models provide simplicity and computing efficiency for large-scale epidemic forecasting, stochastic models are better at reflecting the underlying randomness in disease distribution and may work better in small populations or for uncommon events. An interesting direction for future studies in epidemic modeling and control is the combination of the two methods as well as the addition of novel elements like network dynamics and human behavior.

References

1. Kermack, W.O., & McKendrick, A.G. (1927). A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character.*
2. Anderson, R.M., & May, R.M. (1992). *Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and Control.* Oxford University Press.
3. Basnarkov, L., Tomovski, I., Sandev, T., & Kocarev, L. (2022). Non-Markovian SIR epidemic spreading model of COVID-19. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 160, 112286.
4. Hespanha, J. P., Chinchilla, R., Costa, R. R., Erdal, M. K., & Yang, G. (2021). Forecasting COVID-19 cases based on a parameter-varying stochastic SIR model. Annual reviews in control, 51, 460-476.
5. Cortés, J. C., El Labany, S. K., Navarro Quiles, A., Selim, M. M., & Slama, H. (2020). A comprehensive probabilistic analysis of approximate SIR type epidemiological models via full randomized discrete time Markov chain formulation with applications. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 43(14), 8204-8222.
6. Xu, Z., Zhang, H., & Huang, Z. (2022). A continuous markov-chain model for the simulation of COVID-19 epidemic dynamics. Biology, 11(2), 190.
7. Arruda, E. F., Fragoso, M. D., do Val, J. B., & Thomas, S. S. (2023). A novel queue-based stochastic epidemic model with adaptive stabilising control. ISA transactions, 140, 121-133.
8. Maki, Y., & Hirose, H. (2013, January). Infectious disease spread analysis using stochastic differential equations for SIR model. In 2013 4th International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation (pp. 152-156). IEEE.
9. Alharthi, M. F. (2022). The Basic Reproduction Number for the Markovian SIR-Type Epidemic Models: Comparison and Consistency. Journal of Mathematics, 2022(1), 1925202.
10. Allen, L. J. (2008). An introduction to stochastic epidemic models. In Mathematical epidemiology (pp. 81-130). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
11. Brauer, F. (2008). Compartmental models in epidemiology. Mathematical epidemiology, 19-79.
12. Hernández-Suárez, C. M., Castillo-Chavez, C., López, O. M., & Hernández-Cuevas, K. QUEUING THEORY AND EPIDEMIC MODELS.
13. Allen, L. J., & Burgin, A. M. (2000). Comparison of deterministic and stochastic SIS and SIR models in discrete time. Mathematical biosciences, 163(1), 1-33.
14. Xue, J., Yin, H., Lv, P., Xu, M., & Li, Y. (2019). Crowd queuing simulation with an improved emotional contagion model. Science China. Information Sciences, 62(4), 44101.
15. Li, Y., Zeng, Z., Feng, M., &Kurths, J. (2021). Protection degree and migration in the stochastic SIRS model: A queueing system perspective. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, 69(2), 771-783.

STOCHASTIC AND DETERMINISTIC MODELS IN EPIDEMIC CONTROL: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW

VAIBHAV KUMAR SINGH
Department of Operational Research, University of Delhi
Email:- vsingh1@or.du.ac.in

ADARSH K. VERMA
Centre for Health Psychology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Hyderabad
Email:- adars.du@gmail.com

MANOJ KUMAR
Senior Analyst, HSBC Bank
Email:- manojkumar.du.or.21@gmail.com